

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

1. What is your age?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads	Grads	Age <= 25	Age >= 26		
	454		226		225		319	127	259	194		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Under 21	4	( 0.9)	3	( 1.3)	1	( 0.4)	2	( 0.6)	4	( 1.5)	0	( 0.0)
21 - 25	255	( 56.2)	134	( 59.3)	121	( 53.8)	232	( 72.7)	255	( 98.5)	0	( 0.0)
26 - 30	112	( 24.7)	60	( 26.5)	50	( 22.2)	43	( 13.5)	0	( 0.0)	112	( 57.7)
31 - 40	50	( 11.0)	22	( 9.7)	27	( 12.0)	24	( 7.5)	0	( 0.0)	50	( 25.8)
41 - 50	15	( 3.3)	5	( 2.2)	10	( 4.4)	11	( 3.4)	0	( 0.0)	15	( 7.7)
51 - 64	17	( 3.7)	2	( 0.9)	15	( 6.7)	6	( 1.9)	0	( 0.0)	17	( 8.8)
65 +	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)
No Answer	1	( 0.2)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.4)	1	( 0.3)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)
Average age	27.2		26.0		28.4		25.7	31.1	23.0	33.0		

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

2. What is your race?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
BASE =	454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
American Indian	4	( 0.9)	1	( 0.4)	3	( 1.3)	4	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 1.2)	1	( 0.5)
Black	15	( 3.3)	9	( 4.0)	6	( 2.7)	11	( 3.4)	4	( 3.1)	13	( 5.0)	2	( 1.0)
Hispanic	17	( 3.7)	7	( 3.1)	10	( 4.4)	11	( 3.4)	6	( 4.7)	9	( 3.5)	8	( 4.1)
Asian or Pacific Islander	27	( 5.9)	11	( 4.9)	15	( 6.7)	10	( 3.1)	14	( 11.0)	8	( 3.1)	19	( 9.8)
Caucasian	388	( 85.5)	193	( 85.4)	193	( 85.8)	283	( 88.7)	101	( 79.5)	226	( 87.3)	161	( 83.0)
Other	9	( 2.0)	6	( 2.7)	3	( 1.3)	5	( 1.6)	4	( 3.1)	4	( 1.5)	5	( 2.6)
No Answer	4	( 0.9)	2	( 0.9)	2	( 0.9)	2	( 0.6)	1	( 0.8)	1	( 0.4)	3	( 1.5)

Other responses (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

(declined to answer) (2 mentions)

- Brazilian
- Middle Eastern
- Mutt
- Non-American
- Post-modern
- Syrian
- Wannabe elf

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

3. What is your gender?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
BASE =	454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Male	226	( 49.8)	226	(100.0)	0	( 0.0)	177	( 55.5)	46	( 36.2)	137	( 52.9)	89	( 45.9)
Female	225	( 49.6)	0	( 0.0)	225	(100.0)	140	( 43.9)	80	( 63.0)	122	( 47.1)	102	( 52.6)
No Answer	3	( 0.7)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.6)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 1.5)

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

4. Which of the following best describes your current status

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
BASE =	454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
Completing (or have just completed) UNDERGRADUATE program in Landscape Architecture	319	( 70.3)	177	( 78.3)	140	( 62.2)	319	(100.0)	0	( 0.0)	234	( 90.3)	84	( 43.3)
Completing (or have just completed) GRADUATE program in Landscape Architecture	127	( 28.0)	46	( 20.4)	80	( 35.6)	0	( 0.0)	127	(100.0)	21	( 8.1)	106	( 54.6)
No Answer	8	( 1.8)	3	( 1.3)	5	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 1.5)	4	( 2.1)

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE JUST COMPLETE UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE)

4. (continued)

UNDERGRADUATE - specify school:

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE = 319		177		140		319		0		234		84	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Arizona State University	2	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.4)	2	( 0.6)	-	( - )	2	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)
Auburn University	1	( 0.3)	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)
Ball State University	10	( 3.1)	4	( 2.3)	6	( 4.3)	10	( 3.1)	-	( - )	10	( 4.3)	0	( 0.0)
Cal Polytechnic, San Luis Obispo	12	( 3.8)	7	( 4.0)	5	( 3.6)	12	( 3.8)	-	( - )	10	( 4.3)	2	( 2.4)
Cal State Polytechnic, Pomona	5	( 1.6)	2	( 1.1)	3	( 2.1)	5	( 1.6)	-	( - )	2	( 0.9)	3	( 3.6)
City College at CUNY	4	( 1.3)	2	( 1.1)	2	( 1.4)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	0	( 0.0)	4	( 4.8)
Clemson University	3	( 0.9)	2	( 1.1)	1	( 0.7)	3	( 0.9)	-	( - )	3	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)
Colorado State University	3	( 0.9)	2	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 0.9)	-	( - )	0	( 0.0)	3	( 3.6)
Florida A&M University	1	( 0.3)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.7)	1	( 0.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)
Iowa State University	10	( 3.1)	7	( 4.0)	3	( 2.1)	10	( 3.1)	-	( - )	9	( 3.8)	1	( 1.2)
Kansas State University	9	( 2.8)	5	( 2.8)	4	( 2.9)	9	( 2.8)	-	( - )	8	( 3.4)	1	( 1.2)
Louisiana State University	13	( 4.1)	9	( 5.1)	4	( 2.9)	13	( 4.1)	-	( - )	9	( 3.8)	4	( 4.8)
Michigan State University	7	( 2.2)	5	( 2.8)	2	( 1.4)	7	( 2.2)	-	( - )	5	( 2.1)	2	( 2.4)
Mississippi State University	17	( 5.3)	12	( 6.8)	5	( 3.6)	17	( 5.3)	-	( - )	17	( 7.3)	0	( 0.0)
North Carolina A&T	1	( 0.3)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.7)	1	( 0.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)
North Carolina State University	2	( 0.6)	2	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.6)	-	( - )	2	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)
North Dakota State University	7	( 2.2)	6	( 3.4)	1	( 0.7)	7	( 2.2)	-	( - )	5	( 2.1)	2	( 2.4)
Oklahoma State University	6	( 1.9)	4	( 2.3)	2	( 1.4)	6	( 1.9)	-	( - )	2	( 0.9)	4	( 4.8)
Pennsylvania State University	4	( 1.3)	2	( 1.1)	2	( 1.4)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	4	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)
Purdue University	3	( 0.9)	2	( 1.1)	1	( 0.7)	3	( 0.9)	-	( - )	3	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)
Rutgers University	2	( 0.6)	2	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.6)	-	( - )	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.4)
SUNY Col of Env Science & Forestry	2	( 0.6)	1	( 0.6)	1	( 0.7)	2	( 0.6)	-	( - )	2	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)
Temple University	6	( 1.9)	3	( 1.7)	3	( 2.1)	6	( 1.9)	-	( - )	3	( 1.3)	3	( 3.6)
Texas A&M University	4	( 1.3)	2	( 1.1)	2	( 1.4)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	4	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)
Texas Tech University	4	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 2.9)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	3	( 1.3)	1	( 1.2)
The Ohio State University	19	( 6.0)	12	( 6.8)	7	( 5.0)	19	( 6.0)	-	( - )	15	( 6.4)	4	( 4.8)
University of Arkansas	1	( 0.3)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.7)	1	( 0.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)
University of CA, Berkeley	4	( 1.3)	4	( 2.3)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	0	( 0.0)	4	( 4.8)
University of CA, Davis	11	( 3.4)	4	( 2.3)	7	( 5.0)	11	( 3.4)	-	( - )	5	( 2.1)	5	( 6.0)
University of Connecticut	2	( 0.6)	1	( 0.6)	1	( 0.7)	2	( 0.6)	-	( - )	1	( 0.4)	1	( 1.2)
University of Florida	4	( 1.3)	3	( 1.7)	1	( 0.7)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	3	( 1.3)	1	( 1.2)
University of Georgia	19	( 6.0)	11	( 6.2)	8	( 5.7)	19	( 6.0)	-	( - )	16	( 6.8)	3	( 3.6)
University of Idaho	13	( 4.1)	9	( 5.1)	4	( 2.9)	13	( 4.1)	-	( - )	9	( 3.8)	4	( 4.8)
University of Illinois	6	( 1.9)	2	( 1.1)	4	( 2.9)	6	( 1.9)	-	( - )	5	( 2.1)	1	( 1.2)
University of Kentucky	3	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 2.1)	3	( 0.9)	-	( - )	2	( 0.9)	1	( 1.2)

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE JUST COMPLETE UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE)

4. (continued)

UNDERGRADUATE - specify school (CONTINUED):

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE = 319		177		140		319		0		234		84	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
University of Maryland	11	( 3.4)	4	( 2.3)	7	( 5.0)	11	( 3.4)	-	( - )	8	( 3.4)	3	( 3.6)
University of Massachusetts	7	( 2.2)	5	( 2.8)	2	( 1.4)	7	( 2.2)	-	( - )	6	( 2.6)	1	( 1.2)
University of Minnesota	3	( 0.9)	2	( 1.1)	1	( 0.7)	3	( 0.9)	-	( - )	3	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)
University of Nevada, Las Vegas	1	( 0.3)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.7)	1	( 0.3)	-	( - )	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.2)
University of Oregon	6	( 1.9)	1	( 0.6)	5	( 3.6)	6	( 1.9)	-	( - )	3	( 1.3)	3	( 3.6)
University of Rhode Island	4	( 1.3)	4	( 2.3)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	2	( 0.9)	2	( 2.4)
University of Washington	4	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 2.9)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	2	( 0.9)	2	( 2.4)
University of Wisconsin	9	( 2.8)	2	( 1.1)	7	( 5.0)	9	( 2.8)	-	( - )	7	( 3.0)	2	( 2.4)
Utah State University	10	( 3.1)	6	( 3.4)	3	( 2.1)	10	( 3.1)	-	( - )	5	( 2.1)	5	( 6.0)
Virginia Poly & State University	4	( 1.3)	3	( 1.7)	1	( 0.7)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	4	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)
Washington State University	4	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 2.9)	4	( 1.3)	-	( - )	3	( 1.3)	1	( 1.2)
West Virginia University	9	( 2.8)	6	( 3.4)	3	( 2.1)	9	( 2.8)	-	( - )	8	( 3.4)	1	( 1.2)
No Answer	27	( 8.5)	16	( 9.0)	11	( 7.9)	27	( 8.5)	-	( - )	20	( 8.5)	7	( 8.3)

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE JUST COMPLETE GRADUATE DEGREE)

4. (continued)

GRADUATE - specify school:

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE = 127		46		80		0		127		21		106	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Auburn University	5	( 3.9)	1	( 2.2)	4	( 5.0)	-	( - )	5	( 3.9)	4	( 19.0)	1	( 0.9)
Ball State University	4	( 3.1)	2	( 4.3)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 3.8)
Cal State Polytechnic, Pomona	4	( 3.1)	2	( 4.3)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 3.8)
Cornell University	7	( 5.5)	2	( 4.3)	5	( 6.3)	-	( - )	7	( 5.5)	1	( 4.8)	6	( 5.7)
Florida A&M University	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	1	( 4.8)	0	( 0.0)
Florida International University	3	( 2.4)	1	( 2.2)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 2.8)
Harvard Graduate School of Design	10	( 7.9)	3	( 6.5)	6	( 7.5)	-	( - )	10	( 7.9)	1	( 4.8)	9	( 8.5)
Kansas State University	2	( 1.6)	2	( 4.3)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
Louisiana State University	6	( 4.7)	2	( 4.3)	4	( 5.0)	-	( - )	6	( 4.7)	1	( 4.8)	5	( 4.7)
Morgan State University	2	( 1.6)	1	( 2.2)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
North Carolina State University	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
Pennsylvania State University	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Rhode Island School of Design	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
SUNY Col of Env Science & Forestry	3	( 2.4)	3	( 6.5)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	3	( 2.4)	2	( 9.5)	1	( 0.9)
Texas A&M University	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
The Ohio State University	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
University of Arizona	4	( 3.1)	3	( 6.5)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 3.8)
University of CA, Berkeley	3	( 2.4)	1	( 2.2)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	3	( 2.4)	1	( 4.8)	2	( 1.9)
University of Colorado	7	( 5.5)	1	( 2.2)	6	( 7.5)	-	( - )	7	( 5.5)	0	( 0.0)	7	( 6.6)
University of Florida	6	( 4.7)	2	( 4.3)	4	( 5.0)	-	( - )	6	( 4.7)	1	( 4.8)	5	( 4.7)
University of Georgia	4	( 3.1)	1	( 2.2)	3	( 3.8)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	2	( 9.5)	2	( 1.9)
University of Illinois	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
University of Massachusetts	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 3.8)	-	( - )	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 2.8)
University of Michigan	6	( 4.7)	2	( 4.3)	4	( 5.0)	-	( - )	6	( 4.7)	2	( 9.5)	4	( 3.8)
University of Minnesota	4	( 3.1)	3	( 6.5)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	1	( 4.8)	3	( 2.8)
University of Oklahoma	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 3.8)	-	( - )	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 2.8)
University of Oregon	3	( 2.4)	2	( 4.3)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 2.8)
University of Pennsylvania	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
University of Texas at Arlington	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
University of Virginia	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
University of Washington	4	( 3.1)	2	( 4.3)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 3.8)
Utah State University	2	( 1.6)	1	( 2.2)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
Virginia Poly & State University	7	( 5.5)	3	( 6.5)	4	( 5.0)	-	( - )	7	( 5.5)	2	( 9.5)	5	( 4.7)
Washington State University	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
No Answer	10	( 7.9)	3	( 6.5)	7	( 8.8)	-	( - )	10	( 7.9)	2	( 9.5)	8	( 7.5)

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE JUST COMPLETE GRADUATE DEGREE)

4. (continued)

GRADUATE - what was your undergraduate degree?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE =		46		80		0		127		21		106	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Agriculture	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Anthropology	3	( 2.4)	1	( 2.2)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 2.8)
Architecture	9	( 7.1)	4	( 8.7)	5	( 6.3)	-	( - )	9	( 7.1)	1	( 4.8)	8	( 7.5)
Art History	2	( 1.6)	2	( 4.3)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
Biology	9	( 7.1)	3	( 6.5)	6	( 7.5)	-	( - )	9	( 7.1)	3	( 14.3)	6	( 5.7)
Business	4	( 3.1)	1	( 2.2)	3	( 3.8)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	1	( 4.8)	3	( 2.8)
Cartography/GIS	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Communication	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Community and Regional Planning	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Community Development/Ecology	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Computer Science	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Conservation of Wildland Resources	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Criminology/Sociology	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Economics	5	( 3.9)	2	( 4.3)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	5	( 3.9)	1	( 4.8)	4	( 3.8)
Education and Literature	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Engineering	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
English	4	( 3.1)	2	( 4.3)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	1	( 4.8)	3	( 2.8)
Environmental Design	10	( 7.9)	3	( 6.5)	7	( 8.8)	-	( - )	10	( 7.9)	4	( 19.0)	6	( 5.7)
Environmental Science	3	( 2.4)	2	( 4.3)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 2.8)
Environmental Studies	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
Finance	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Fine Arts	6	( 4.7)	0	( 0.0)	6	( 7.5)	-	( - )	6	( 4.7)	1	( 4.8)	5	( 4.7)
Foreign Relations	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Furniture Design and Woodworking	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
General	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Geography	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
German	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Government	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
History	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
Horticulture	4	( 3.1)	2	( 4.3)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	4	( 3.1)	1	( 4.8)	3	( 2.8)
Hotel Management	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Humanities	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Imaging Science	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Industrial technology	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Kinesiology	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)



(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE JUST COMPLETE GRADUATE DEGREE)

4. (continued)

GRADUATE - what was your undergraduate degree (CONTINUED)?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads	Grads	Age <= 25	Age >= 26				
	BASE = 127		46		80		0	127	21	106				
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%				
Landscape Architecture	11	( 8.7)	7	( 15.2)	4	( 5.0)	-	( - )	11	( 8.7)	3	( 14.3)	8	( 7.5)
Landscape Construction	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Landscape Horticulture	2	( 1.6)	2	( 4.3)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
Landscape Planning and Design	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Mathematics Secondary Education	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Music/Childhood Development	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Peace Studies	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Planning	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Plant Science	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	1	( 4.8)	0	( 0.0)
Political Science	5	( 3.9)	2	( 4.3)	3	( 3.8)	-	( - )	5	( 3.9)	0	( 0.0)	5	( 4.7)
Psychology	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Resource Ecology & Management	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	1	( 4.8)	0	( 0.0)
Sociology	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Soil resource management	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.3)	-	( - )	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.9)
Wildlife Biology	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.5)	-	( - )	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.9)
No Answer	9	( 7.1)	4	( 8.7)	5	( 6.3)	-	( - )	9	( 7.1)	3	( 14.3)	6	( 5.7)

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

5. What are your plans for the immediate future?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	BASE = 454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
To work/seek employment	381	( 83.9)	193	( 85.4)	185	( 82.2)	269	( 84.3)	108	( 85.0)	213	( 82.2)	168	( 86.6)
To pursue additional education	33	( 7.3)	16	( 7.1)	17	( 7.6)	24	( 7.5)	8	( 6.3)	24	( 9.3)	9	( 4.6)
Undecided	11	( 2.4)	5	( 2.2)	6	( 2.7)	8	( 2.5)	2	( 1.6)	6	( 2.3)	4	( 2.1)
Travel	28	( 6.2)	12	( 5.3)	16	( 7.1)	18	( 5.6)	9	( 7.1)	15	( 5.8)	13	( 6.7)
No Answer	1	( 0.2)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

6. Which of the following employment sectors is your PRIMARY interest?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
BASE =	454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Private practice LA firm														
Less than 10 employees	64	( 14.1)	30	( 13.3)	34	( 15.1)	41	( 12.9)	22	( 17.3)	30	( 11.6)	34	( 17.5)
10 or more employees	127	( 28.0)	60	( 26.5)	65	( 28.9)	87	( 27.3)	39	( 30.7)	63	( 24.3)	64	( 33.0)
No size preference	80	( 17.6)	44	( 19.5)	36	( 16.0)	60	( 18.8)	19	( 15.0)	50	( 19.3)	30	( 15.5)
Private practice with allied professionals	84	( 18.5)	41	( 18.1)	42	( 18.7)	62	( 19.4)	21	( 16.5)	56	( 21.6)	28	( 14.4)
Design build contractor	37	( 8.1)	25	( 11.1)	12	( 5.3)	32	( 10.0)	4	( 3.1)	27	( 10.4)	10	( 5.2)
Planning agency	4	( 0.9)	3	( 1.3)	1	( 0.4)	3	( 0.9)	1	( 0.8)	2	( 0.8)	2	( 1.0)
Public sector resource management														
Federal	21	( 4.6)	9	( 4.0)	12	( 5.3)	16	( 5.0)	3	( 2.4)	14	( 5.4)	7	( 3.6)
State	2	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.9)	1	( 0.3)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.0)
Local	3	( 0.7)	2	( 0.9)	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 2.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 1.5)
Not for profit	6	( 1.3)	1	( 0.4)	5	( 2.2)	2	( 0.6)	4	( 3.1)	2	( 0.8)	4	( 2.1)
Academic institution	8	( 1.8)	4	( 1.8)	4	( 1.8)	2	( 0.6)	5	( 3.9)	6	( 2.3)	2	( 1.0)
Other	17	( 3.7)	6	( 2.7)	11	( 4.9)	12	( 3.8)	5	( 3.9)	8	( 3.1)	8	( 4.1)
No Answer	1	( 0.2)	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.3)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)

Other responses (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

- Display garden
- Environmentally oriented design science firm
- Freelance/self employed (3 mentions)
- Golf Course Architecture Firm (small)
- Golf Course Superintendent
- Historic Preservation (2 mentions)
- Interdisciplinary Firm Ecological Design focus
- Land Development Firm
- Private practice golf course architecture firm - 10 or more employees
- Project Manager for a contracting co
- Public art
- Restoration
- Working abroad

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

7. How many job interviews have you had during your final semester in school?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	BASE = 454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
None	219	( 48.2)	100	( 44.2)	118	( 52.4)	146	( 45.8)	68	( 53.5)	116	( 44.8)	102	( 52.6)
1	56	( 12.3)	25	( 11.1)	30	( 13.3)	40	( 12.5)	15	( 11.8)	34	( 13.1)	22	( 11.3)
2	54	( 11.9)	29	( 12.8)	25	( 11.1)	39	( 12.2)	14	( 11.0)	31	( 12.0)	23	( 11.9)
3	40	( 8.8)	27	( 11.9)	13	( 5.8)	26	( 8.2)	14	( 11.0)	23	( 8.9)	17	( 8.8)
4	32	( 7.0)	13	( 5.8)	18	( 8.0)	25	( 7.8)	7	( 5.5)	21	( 8.1)	11	( 5.7)
5	23	( 5.1)	11	( 4.9)	12	( 5.3)	20	( 6.3)	3	( 2.4)	16	( 6.2)	7	( 3.6)
6 - 10	21	( 4.6)	13	( 5.8)	8	( 3.6)	16	( 5.0)	5	( 3.9)	13	( 5.0)	8	( 4.1)
11 or more	5	( 1.1)	5	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 1.3)	1	( 0.8)	3	( 1.2)	2	( 1.0)
No Answer	4	( 0.9)	3	( 1.3)	1	( 0.4)	3	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.8)	2	( 1.0)
Average number	1.7		1.9		1.4		1.8		1.4		1.8		1.5	

## (RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7b. Please comment on how the interview went:

- 90% of people were very friendly and helpful, should have done it sooner
- A little unprepared
- Able to compare offers and seek out best opportunity. Returning full-time to one of two firms I interned with during previous summer (split week between two firms).
- All my interviews went smoothly
- all of my interviews went very well and resulted in four offers out of five interviews
- Alright, the interviews were not very promising. They talked to as if my chances of getting the job were slim.
- Because I was so narrow for the company and geography I didn't have a lot of options. they were all pretty receptive and encouraging. I did find the process a bit unprofessional. having a higher educational degree in this field I guess I expected to be more in the ranks of architect and lawyer, etc. which seem to have more sophisticate interview process. I took considerable time to write letters and present myself in a professional manor. When it came tome for the companies to do the same they never did. My fiancée is a lawyer and I remember when she was interviewing she received a letter of response whether the company was hiring or not. even after interviews of jobs she was not awarded she received formal letters telling her so. I was just left in the dark. that was my major disappointment in the process.
- Called up potential employer. Showed him a portfolio of work. Discussed pay and requirements of the job. Analyzed whether or not I fit the position. Was hired at the interview.
- Casual
- casual atmosphere
- Easier than I expected.
- Everyone is looking for someone with 10+ years experience. If they had been looking for someone with 1.5 -2yrs I would have a job by now.
- Extremely well. Good feedback on my portfolio.
- Extremely well. The professionals I met with were very pleasant. The interviews were set up over email, a very smooth process.
- fairly casual--met with one or two of the firm's employees. they told me about their firm, I told them about myself, I showed them my work, they asked question, and then I asked questions. we either met at a meeting room, or local pub.
- Fairly easy. Being persistent without being rude, always following up with a potential employer seemed to work out well.
- fairly informal - tough market right now
- fairly well, I felt a little inexperienced and was unprepared to answer some questions
- fairly well, it is a tight job market
- Fantastic! The job market here in the DC Metro area is very strong, and there are many more jobs than people to fill them
- fine
- fine, I was offered the jobs, but did not accept
- Frustrating. I felt my academic background did not provide enough opportunities to create a portfolio that would interest perspective employers.
- generally very well
- Good (3 mentions)
- good, not intimidating, many were more firm visits than formal interviews
- great
- Great! After turning down 2 job offers, I got the job I wanted!
- Great, I was offered eight different positions I felt that I was prepared because of my planning and the instruction my school provided.
- Great, people were really eager to have me
- great, the firm is a local civil engineering firm with a small landscape architecture component. I will be doing cad work - they were also looking specifically for Photoshop rendering ability (which I have)
- Had worked there for an extended internship (9 months) and then again for a summer internship (4 months)...went very well

## (RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7b. (continued)

- Hard to get responses in a timely manner to set up interviews or Responses of an offer or no offer.
- High degree of interest
- I actually interviewed with one firm first and then got a job offer from that firm. I was flown to the office location to meet with the individuals that I would be working with and to interview with the principals in that location. After meeting with everyone, I was shown the office and then flown back to school. I was offered the job days later.
- I applied the internship and I am in junior standing in my LDA program,
- I came prepared and it was very smooth
- I did not have a standard interview. I have been working with a nonprofit called the Learning Landscape Alliance, redesigning and rebuilding playgrounds with community input, for over two years. I have a unique opportunity to combine my current two years of experience with my five years of outdoor education experience in a new position at the University of Colorado at Denver. I have been asked to apply to the University of Colorado at Denver's PhD Program in Design & Planning, so that I may work part-time at the University's new "Children, Youth, and Environment" Center, while continuing my research and community involvement with Denver's Public Schools. Hopefully we will secure funding for the Center and for my education as I can not afford to be working for so little money without it. I am very excited about the opportunity but if things do not come to fruition, I will be job searching like everyone else.
- I enjoyed all of my interviews. Each one was a great experience, and I had the opportunity to share my work, meet other LA's and learn about different firms and how they approach landscape architecture practice.
- I felt a little intimidated, but I thought it went rather well
- I felt it went well. I come out not knowing as much as I wish I had. Very nerve racking!
- I felt like the interview went extremely well, I am just waiting to hear any kind of response.
- I felt very good about my interviews. Everyone seemed interested, but no one was hiring. I was just letting them know that I existed.
- I found myself to be overqualified for many of the positions.
- I gave them a resume and I showed and explained to them my examples of work and answered questions and I also asked questions. Then they showed me the office area
- I had already worked for them before so it was less formal to decided on salary, duties, etc
- I had four interviews that all went well. I received two job offers and I am now employed with one of the companies.
- I had two very different experiences. One was at a mid size firm and the other a small firm. I felt that the mid size firm was much more organized in their interviewing process and I was happily surprised by the time they spent with me. Very interested in hearing about all of my work. At the smaller firm I felt rushed and their needs seemed much less clear.
- I have worked with the company that interviewed me for 5 summers and was basically handed the job... with the interview as just a technicality.
- I interned last summer and was offered a full time position at the end of my internship. I've been lucky enough to not go through the interviewing process this semester.
- I interviewed with 3 different people who had different backgrounds. (planning, L.A., and engineer) I showed them a portfolio of the work that I had done in college and a week later I received a phone call.
- I like the interviewing process, things have gone well. I haven't found the firm that fits me at this moment.
- I show my communication skills well, but I am not being hired because my drawings and lack of experience
- I think it went well. I hope I got my message across to each firm.
- I thought there would be a lot of jobs for graduates when I chose this major. There are almost no jobs in Indianapolis. I wish there were.
- I was a current intern and I have been hired for full time.
- I was already accepted to work there. I showed up unannounced one week and pretended to be interested in a job. They mock interviewed me.

## (RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7b. (continued)

- I was asked to send my resume to the firm for whom one of my senior project committee members works. Within a week I was asked to come in for an interview, a second interview the next week. Within 2 days of the second interview, I was offered a position.
- I was comfortable during these interviews.
- I was interning before at the firm that I interviewed at, so the interview was more of a formality. The process was fine, however, since the interview was more of a step in hiring me full time, I wasn't offered a completed formal "hire package" and it was harder for me to negotiate for a salary.
- I was interviewed during our college of Design Career Day. I was one of many students who made appointments with a 1 or more firms for interviews.
- Interview at MSU career fair and follow up interviews at the office.
- interview process went well. interviews were short. both gave very clear expectations
- Interviewers often ask questions regarding your professional interests. There's so much to do, I haven't really picked an area I want to specialize in yet. This seemed detrimental to my interview process.
- Interviewers were very friendly and encouraging
- Interviews ranged from formal to informal. Interviewers were very nice, had good questions for me, and had good information to present about their firm. I tried to have good questions ready to ask them about the kind of person they were looking for, and about the structure of their firm.
- Interviews went very well. Learned how to interview and possible interview questions.
- initial informal interview at school followed by invitation to firm with review of portfolio and thinking process with small panel, a delicious lunch and paid hotel and gas expenses.
- Introductions of people and company, explanation of open position, questions on resume, presentation of portfolio, salary and benefits discussion, start date discussion, goodbyes
- It got me a job.
- It ranged from very formal to very informal and relaxed.
- It seemed very laid back and comfortable. Both interviewers were younger employees in the company.
- It was a good experience.
- It was a phone interview for an internship. The process went well, as the firm had already sent representatives to visit the school, and so we had already met in person before.
- It was at school with a recent graduate. It was very laid back and informal.
- It was difficult to try to interview while finishing up my degree. I think that overall things went well, and employers are very interested in assuring that the employee fits within their culture and design styles.
- It was easy.
- It was fine, I thought I was well prepared for all of the questions that they had for me.
- it was fine, some people on the phone say they will be hiring interns for the summer and permanent positions in fall
- It was fine. I felt quite comfortable, as I've had to interview for many scholarships. Facing design juries has also increased my confidence.
- It was much easier to interview in the private sector. I felt that the private firms were more open to employing a recent graduate.
- It was relatively informal. It was a long process however, and it took 1-2 months before I heard any news.
- It was relaxed and actually quite enjoyable.
- It was simply a phone conversation with a recruiting agency. I had to explain my background and the areas I felt I could contribute most to a potential employer.
- It went fairly well. I showed up a bit late due to the weather but they didn't seem to mind.
- It went fine, and I got a good offer; however, I could not graduate in the right time that they needed me. So that, the chance has gone.
- It went fine, the first question asked was "Do you know AutoCAD?" I do, but funny that it isn't a requirement at my school. Yet so many employers ask this first, before even looking at your portfolio.

## (RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7b. (continued)

- It went good, most wanted me to have more experience, they wanted to see "architectural drawings, AutoCAD skills
- It went really well, I had a lot of communication with each firm before the interview so the interview was easy going.
- It went very well, I was hired
- It went well - most employers concentrated on my portfolio, technical skills, and internship experience. My internship experience helped me get the job
- it went well- firms seemed interested in what students were studying and how their skills could be applied to the real world.
- It went well I got a job on my second interview
- It went well, however I was expecting a little more (or any) feedback from the companies that were sent a portfolio or resume. Meaning, a quick email to say they received it and are reviewing it. Out of 10 that were sent, 2 emailed me back saying they were reviewing them.
- It went well. I learned a lot about the companies and am awaiting news.
- I've been pleased with the graciousness of potential employers. Once my qualifications have sparked their interest, the questions I am asked are centered around what type of position I am expecting, whether or not I would be happy with the particular firm's makeup, project scope, etc. and how I see my future turning out with respect to the firm.
- laid back
- Looked at my portfolio, asked general questions
- LSU hosted the Design Recruitment Day. I spent 30 minutes at each firm's table. It was a nice informal meeting.
- met with senior associate, and showed my extra work booklet besides portfolio that I gave them. and question and answer type of interview.. very casual..
- Most just wanted to talk. They weren't too interested in hiring yet. They said they were waiting to make staffing decisions until later in the semester.
- My interviews went at a relaxing pace. No really difficult or pressing questions. Employers were interested in my leisure activities as well as my strengths and weaknesses and interests within the profession.
- Naturally, I felt very nervous going into the interviews, because not only do I want a job...I need a job. But, once I was there I became very comfortable. I feel that my education prepared me to explain my projects and how I would like them to influence my professional career.
- Not completed yet. Only scheduled.
- Once I was able to get the interviews it was smooth it was difficult to find places to interview because no one wants to have to pay for travel b/c of the poor economy and as a college student I have limited financial resources. Our LA department at school does NOTHING to help bring in people for interviews.
- Overall very well. Although, I felt due to current market conditions, the process was much slower than usual.
- People seem to like my portfolio, and we have had some good conversations, but I have only interviewed locally for internships and some people have wanted someone who will promise not to move away soon.
- Phone interview for a summer internship in Oregon. A committee of scientists and planners called and asked questions.
- Positive response to my portfolio and interest in maintaining contact but no offers
- process went well. felt prepared and fortunate that a company was actually thinking about hiring an LA. The market is bad right now!!!
- process went well. wish I had spent more time on my portfolio, but was too busy with school.
- Questions were asked of me, and about me. Some interviewers wanted to see my work.
- Rather smoothly. The places where I interviewed had a very informal process, consisting mostly of meeting the potential boss, a few of the other crew members, and taking a tour of the golf course, asking questions along the way.
- Relatively well
- relaxed
- Sensibly.
- Several firms told that they were not hiring, but they would still like to meet with me. Everyone was very helpful and courteous.



## (RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7b. (continued)

- Showed my portfolio and reviewed my work as they questioned me about school, interests etc
- Since I was interviewing in the middle of a review period it was difficult to balance the demands of my studio project with the need to construct a portfolio. The interview itself went fairly well. It was a small firm and we talked mostly about their work and discussed my abilities to sketch, draw in cad and meet with clients.
- smoothly. currently have 1 offer and am keeping in touch with 4 other firms while awaiting their offers.
- Smoothly. I felt I was prepared for the questions, and was at ease during the process.
- Some went well, and Some did not go as well!!!
- The actual interviews were informative and interesting. Because firms seem to rarely provide travel expenses for entry level candidates, my opportunities were usually limited to the region within driving distance.
- The interview process has gone well so to date! I am planning on attending graduate school in the fall, so my interviews have been purely for experience to this point!
- The interview process has gone well and is still continuing.
- The interview process was a bit difficult to arrange. There were a lot of "hoops" I had to jump through even to \*get\* an interview. The process was made especially difficult because I have applied to firms all over the country. The job market in the Midwest is not particularly good for entry-level employees. Seeking employment from a distance away is tough. I wish that more firms would be: a) more decisive when actively pursuing a new employee, b) more willing to get serious instead of dragging the application/reviewing/interviewing process out for so long, and c) more willing to work with you regarding traveling for interviews when you are coming from a distance away.
- The interview process was a day at school called design recruitment day. This is where several Landscape Architecture firms, Architecture Firms, Interior Design, and Graphic Design firms come to the University and set up interviews all day. The process went extremely well and is a great learning experience
- The interview process was fine. It was an office in Los Angeles, CA.
- The interview process was great, I was able to research a company that interested me and then seek employment there. I knew I was not interested in working at a place that was interested in evaluating me by my portfolio, luckily for my interview at this company a portfolio was not necessary.
- The interview process went okay, I showed them my portfolio and they seemed very interested. They also graduated from Iowa State University so we could related to some of the teachers that are teaching at ISU. It was a design/build firm and I think they were bothered when I ask if there were any registered landscape architects working there, there weren't any. But they were very nice eventually showing me around the place.
- The interview process went well and was a great process for me to have. The interviewers were great and open minded.
- The interview was set up during the College of Design's Career Days in February. I met with a project manager for a firm based in Denver. The interview was one-on-one where we discussed my portfolio, the firm, the projects they do, and the region in which the firm is located
- The interviews by and large went well. For the several offering a second interview, there appeared to be some point at which the lights turned off.
- The interviews ranged from informal to very formal. I interviewed with a small design house of 2 L.A.'s and a few with a staff of over 20 Lea's both were thorough in asking questions of my skills and interests
- The interviews seemed to go well. I was surprised at how positively my portfolio was received.
- The interviews went well and I was offered a job on two of them.
- The interviews were exploratory, to know the possibilities to be employed, since I was an international student.
- The interviews focused more on my technical abilities (AutoCAD, hand graphics) and much less on my design or analytical abilities
- The Principals of the firms specialize in sales and do their best to sell you their firm.
- The process felt as standard as interviewing for any other position; it was definitely the person I connected with, however, where I obtained the job. We have a very similar sense of humor
- The process was very simple. I contacted possible employers and inquired about possible positions. Then if they were interested I sent a digital portfolio then returned with a follow up phone call to set up an interview.

## (RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7b. (continued)

- The process went well, I guess, but I do not have a job yet.
- The process went well, it consisted of a two rounds, the first I met with the HR woman. The next time I met the principles and was eventually hired.
- The process went well. I learned what was expected from me if I were to get a job there and they really wanted to know why I wanted to work in that specific office.
- The process went well. I was prepared to answer most of the questions asked.
- The professionals were very interested to talk, even if there were no current openings with their office.
- The representative of the firm discussed with me the prospects of the company, the projects involved, and what type of employment was offered.
- There is not much time to prepare for job interviews in graduate school. It is disappointing to work so hard and at the end be empty handed when it comes to employment. I do not feel like UVA assisted much with the process.
- there were generally good, but also it was not easy to find an interview opportunity because my national and local issues. Students from mid west of U.S. often have problems to go East or West.
- They asked about my previous experiences with landscape Architecture, asked about other interests outside the profession, viewed samples of work I had brought in, since I had not finished my portfolio yet. Then we had a discussion on the profession and how it is shaping our community
- They both went well. Both interviews took place on the Mississippi State University campus. Both firms said that they would be in contact with me in the weeks following the interviews. Only one of them followed with me to ask me to travel to their office for a second interview with the principal of the firm.
- They had there ups and downs.
- They offered me a job until I leave for international graduate school in January.
- They were all successful- A brief history on my background and then review of my works and my future interests as a LA
- They were smooth considering that Kansas State University prepares us for interviews through Mock Interviews and Design Expo.
- Two of the interviews took place at school, one during a job fair and the other during a recruitment tour. Both were short and very general. The other three were typical office visits. One of which got the entire office involved in the interviewing process which was refreshing to see and be a part of. I had sent my portfolio and sample pages prior to the interview and when there, was to walk the offices through the projects displayed. All were very relaxed and conversational rather than formal.
- Two went fairly well and one was horrible
- typical corporate interview.
- Uneventful and fairly repetitive. I thought it was funny how... tactful both parties had to be. You wanted to find out what was in their hand without giving away yours.
- Varied from company to company. Overall it went well. Smaller companies went well and took the time to actually meet the interviewee for personality, skills and communication. Subject topics included, background info., internships, etc. AutoCAD "tests" were given afterwards.
- Very casual...asked 3 questions, 1)why are you interested in Larc 2)who is your favorite Larchitect 3) how much do we need to pay you.
- very informal compared to undergrad interviews
- very informal, as it was with the company I interned for last summer.
- very laidback and relaxing environment
- Very Professional and challenge for the job position.
- Very smooth. I brought lots of work with me, and we talked about every single project, which took about 2 hours.
- Very smoothly. I have interviewed at design firms before for internships, so I am used to the interview process. All firms more or less wanted to get to know me and for me to talk about my work in my portfolio.
- Very well (7 mentions)
- very well I was offered 2 positions out of 5 interviews

## (RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7b. (continued)

- Very well with some, Some firms give the run around
- Very well, Everyone liked the work I had done, and was eager to get new employees hired.
- Very well, One was with someone I already knew so they just asked me If I would be interested. The second interview was over the phone. This was with a landscape designer and she seemed very interested, she wants both a some one who has construction experience to supervise projects and some one who can work with CAD. I can do both. I will meet her in the spring and I'll see if its worth it.
- very well, received offer after the second one
- Very Well. Most of them took place at our Career Fair.
- very well. the firms who interviewed me were looking at my work, but of course, hired those who were more experienced than myself.
- Very well. Some problems with professionals not being able to give accurate estimates of upcoming workload in relation to their potential hiring needs.
- very well....two job offers from 2 interviews
- Very well--I interned with the company, so it was comfortable.
- We went through my portfolio and resume. I got a tour of the firm. We talked about my school and what type of program Wisconsin has.
- Well, learning experience that evolved after a few interview
- Well. (6 mentions)
- Well. I was prepared and received a job offer from my first choice.
- well...I knew to bring actual examples of my work, not just my portfolio,
- Went fine
- WENT GOOD. CONTRACTORS LOOKING FOR OTHER PEOPLES PRICES. 1/2 PAY RATE GOING AROUND. FORGET ABOUT LICENSURE. VILLAGES DO NOT INFORCE PLANS. RESIDENTS WANT PLANS FOR NOTHING.
- Went very well
- Went very well. I was pleased to learn more about the firms, information that was not included on their websites. Had the interviews been very formal and intimidating, I would not consider working for the firm. Both were relaxed and healthy and creative atmospheres.
- went well, I had prepared questions and did not feel I was caught by surprise on anything.

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7c. Was it what you expected?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	230		121		107		169		58		140		90	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes	190	( 82.6)	108	( 89.3)	81	( 75.7)	141	( 83.4)	48	( 82.8)	117	( 83.6)	73	( 81.1)
No	35	( 15.2)	13	( 10.7)	22	( 20.6)	26	( 15.4)	8	( 13.8)	22	( 15.7)	13	( 14.4)
No Answer	229	( 99.6)	105	( 86.8)	122	(114.0)	152	( 89.9)	71	(122.4)	120	( 85.7)	108	(120.0)

## (RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE HAD ONE OR MORE JOB INTERVIEW)

7c. (continued)

IF NO, why (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

- Better preparation is necessary, and a knowing of what to expect
- did not know what to expect
- Firms would come to job fairs not wanting to hire anyone. Only two firms out of the job fair were hiring and they hired the graduate students. The other firm hiring was in southern Florida doing resort development. In my humble opinion is unethical
- First time interviewing for me, and I expected them to be demanding and ask more questions about my abilities
- I did not know what to expect from either. Both were positive learning experiences.
- I expected to receive at least one solid offer within the six months of solid looking. Lots of interviews and potential but no solid offers.
- I had no expectation because it is an internship and it is an entry level.
- I have interviewed for jobs before and new what to expect in an interview
- I think UVA is a great school and we are learning innovative design technologies. When seeking employment, it seems many firms are behind and the work is not as interesting or evocative as what we did in school. I am excited by the construction process and feel I have a lot to learn in the area.
- I thought it would be easier to find a job, to be honest
- I thought the market would be a lot better than it is due to the time of year and a recovering economy.
- I was asked to begin designing for a design build company. Nantucket, MA . Pay is great and I am outdoors a majority of the day!!
- I was not able to negotiate a market-value salary as easily.
- I was surprised by the number of firms who will drag the hiring process out and not be willing to give you a frank answer if you are unqualified or if they are not interested. A simple yet polite 'no' is preferred by most students compared to a "Well, we'll keep you on file."
- In the interviews I have done future employers say what they would have done if they had my projects I don't know if that is what they are supposed to do or not.
- Interviewing 3 different times with 3 different people was not what I expected.
- It was a good interview and resulted in a job offer.
- It was as expected except for a couple of interviews that really went in depth concerning grades, how our class got along and dealt with problems instead of the work in my portfolio
- it was easier, questions were not complicated, I felt very comfortable
- it was much easier. they liked what I had to show them and didn't delve as far into my ability to do certain tasks as I thought they would.
- It was much more relaxed than I expected
- LET'S TALK ABOUT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT DOING CIVIL ENGINEERING WORK. BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE I'M GOING. IF LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE LICENSURE DOESN'T GIVE ME DIRECT SIGNOFF ON CIVIL PLANS. THEN I'M GOING FOR CIVIL PE LICENSURE. WHY COMPLAINT ABOUT THE FEE'S TO BE PAID FOR LICENSURE. OTHER PROFESSIONALS PAY MORE IN FEE'S. BUT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT'S COMPLAIN ABOUT THE FEE'S. LANDSCAPE DOESN'T GIVE ME A RETIREMENT FOR THE FUTURE. EVERYONE OUT THERE WANTS HALF PRICED ARCHITECTS !
- One was an hour, interviewers were semi-rude. The second was three hours, including project tours and a lunch.
- So far my journey is like being a falling leaf, always moving but never sure where the winds will take me.
- surprised to hear that firms aren't willing to make offers more than 3 months before a projected start date.
- The firm was not big enough and I would like to work in a multidisciplinary firm.
- The interviewer was nice, but very blunt on things I needed to work on. I wasn't expecting that kind of bluntness.
- The pay I deserve was not commensurate with my skills.

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

8. What salary expectation do/did you have?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
BASE =	454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
No expectation	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)
Less than \$20,000	2	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.0)
\$20,000 - 24,999	6	( 1.3)	4	( 1.8)	2	( 0.9)	6	( 1.9)	0	( 0.0)	5	( 1.9)	1	( 0.5)
\$25,000 - 29,999	16	( 3.5)	9	( 4.0)	7	( 3.1)	14	( 4.4)	2	( 1.6)	9	( 3.5)	7	( 3.6)
\$30,000 - 34,999	122	( 26.9)	68	( 30.1)	54	( 24.0)	107	( 33.5)	14	( 11.0)	84	( 32.4)	38	( 19.6)
\$35,000 - 39,999	155	( 34.1)	76	( 33.6)	78	( 34.7)	115	( 36.1)	40	( 31.5)	94	( 36.3)	61	( 31.4)
\$40,000 or more	129	( 28.4)	62	( 27.4)	65	( 28.9)	62	( 19.4)	65	( 51.2)	50	( 19.3)	79	( 40.7)
No Answer	85	( 18.7)	44	( 19.5)	41	( 18.2)	62	( 19.4)	18	( 14.2)	51	( 19.7)	33	( 17.0)
Average salary	36103.6		35901.5		36226.4		35135.0		38426.8		35281.1		37162.2	

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

9. Do/did you have reasonable employment prospects with ...

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	BASE = 454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
A former employer	112	( 24.7)	56	( 24.8)	53	( 23.6)	83	( 26.0)	28	( 22.0)	63	( 24.3)	49	( 25.3)
An internship organization	54	( 11.9)	28	( 12.4)	26	( 11.6)	35	( 11.0)	17	( 13.4)	33	( 12.7)	21	( 10.8)
Both	60	( 13.2)	30	( 13.3)	30	( 13.3)	42	( 13.2)	17	( 13.4)	34	( 13.1)	26	( 13.4)
Neither	223	( 49.1)	110	( 48.7)	113	( 50.2)	156	( 48.9)	64	( 50.4)	125	( 48.3)	97	( 50.0)
No Answer	5	( 1.1)	2	( 0.9)	3	( 1.3)	3	( 0.9)	1	( 0.8)	4	( 1.5)	1	( 0.5)

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

10. How many job offers have you received to date?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	BASE = 454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
None	229	( 50.4)	101	( 44.7)	126	( 56.0)	154	( 48.3)	71	( 55.9)	120	( 46.3)	108	( 55.7)
1	109	( 24.0)	51	( 22.6)	58	( 25.8)	77	( 24.1)	30	( 23.6)	66	( 25.5)	43	( 22.2)
2	63	( 13.9)	39	( 17.3)	23	( 10.2)	48	( 15.0)	14	( 11.0)	42	( 16.2)	21	( 10.8)
3	31	( 6.8)	21	( 9.3)	10	( 4.4)	25	( 7.8)	6	( 4.7)	18	( 6.9)	13	( 6.7)
4	12	( 2.6)	7	( 3.1)	5	( 2.2)	7	( 2.2)	5	( 3.9)	8	( 3.1)	4	( 2.1)
5	1	( 0.2)	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.3)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.5)
6 - 10	3	( 0.7)	3	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.8)	1	( 0.5)
11 or more	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)
No Answer	6	( 1.3)	3	( 1.3)	3	( 1.3)	4	( 1.3)	1	( 0.8)	3	( 1.2)	3	( 1.5)
Average number	0.9		1.1		0.7		1.0		0.8		1.0		0.8	



(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE RECEIVED ONE OR MORE JOB OFFER)

10b. What starting salary were you offered?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
BASE =	225		125		99		165		56		139		86	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Less than \$10,000	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)
\$10,000 - 19,999	1	( 0.4)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.7)	0	( 0.0)
\$20,000 - 24,999	15	( 6.7)	7	( 5.6)	8	( 8.1)	13	( 7.9)	1	( 1.8)	13	( 9.4)	2	( 2.3)
\$25,000 - 29,999	27	( 12.0)	17	( 13.6)	10	( 10.1)	23	( 13.9)	4	( 7.1)	16	( 11.5)	11	( 12.8)
\$30,000 - 34,999	81	( 36.0)	50	( 40.0)	31	( 31.3)	68	( 41.2)	11	( 19.6)	49	( 35.3)	32	( 37.2)
\$35,000 - 39,999	80	( 35.6)	50	( 40.0)	30	( 30.3)	59	( 35.8)	21	( 37.5)	52	( 37.4)	28	( 32.6)
\$40,000 or more	44	( 19.6)	27	( 21.6)	15	( 15.2)	23	( 13.9)	20	( 35.7)	21	( 15.1)	23	( 26.7)
No Answer	50	( 22.2)	25	( 20.0)	25	( 25.3)	38	( 23.0)	12	( 21.4)	33	( 23.7)	17	( 19.8)
Average salary	34366.9		34532.8		33904.3		33485.1		37355.6		33789.3		35281.4	

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

11. Please put the following attributes in rank order from 1 to 6, based on their importance to you in selecting a job

RESPONDENTS RANKING NUMBER ONE

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
BASE =	454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
Type of organization	124	( 27.3)	68	( 30.1)	56	( 24.9)	89	( 27.9)	35	( 27.6)	78	( 30.1)	46	( 23.7)
Specialties within the organization	95	( 20.9)	37	( 16.4)	57	( 25.3)	50	( 15.7)	42	( 33.1)	41	( 15.8)	54	( 27.8)
Reputation of the organization	99	( 21.8)	52	( 23.0)	47	( 20.9)	69	( 21.6)	27	( 21.3)	54	( 20.8)	45	( 23.2)
Geographic location	190	( 41.9)	88	( 38.9)	101	( 44.9)	129	( 40.4)	58	( 45.7)	93	( 35.9)	96	( 49.5)
Starting salary	58	( 12.8)	29	( 12.8)	29	( 12.9)	41	( 12.9)	14	( 11.0)	27	( 10.4)	31	( 16.0)
Position description	104	( 22.9)	43	( 19.0)	61	( 27.1)	73	( 22.9)	29	( 22.8)	65	( 25.1)	39	( 20.1)
No Answer	2	( 0.4)	1	( 0.4)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.0)

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

11. RANKING (continued)

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Type of organization														
1	124	( 27.3)	68	( 30.1)	56	( 24.9)	89	( 27.9)	35	( 27.6)	78	( 30.1)	46	( 23.7)
2	151	( 33.3)	76	( 33.6)	75	( 33.3)	115	( 36.1)	32	( 25.2)	89	( 34.4)	62	( 32.0)
3	63	( 13.9)	33	( 14.6)	30	( 13.3)	38	( 11.9)	23	( 18.1)	34	( 13.1)	28	( 14.4)
4	39	( 8.6)	17	( 7.5)	21	( 9.3)	27	( 8.5)	12	( 9.4)	25	( 9.7)	14	( 7.2)
5	31	( 6.8)	13	( 5.8)	18	( 8.0)	22	( 6.9)	9	( 7.1)	17	( 6.6)	14	( 7.2)
6	31	( 6.8)	14	( 6.2)	17	( 7.6)	20	( 6.3)	10	( 7.9)	12	( 4.6)	19	( 9.8)
No Answer	15	( 3.3)	5	( 2.2)	8	( 3.6)	8	( 2.5)	6	( 4.7)	4	( 1.5)	11	( 5.7)
Average ranking =	2.5		2.4		2.6		2.5		2.7		2.4		2.7	
Specialties within the organization														
1	95	( 20.9)	37	( 16.4)	57	( 25.3)	50	( 15.7)	42	( 33.1)	41	( 15.8)	54	( 27.8)
2	126	( 27.8)	68	( 30.1)	58	( 25.8)	94	( 29.5)	30	( 23.6)	68	( 26.3)	58	( 29.9)
3	101	( 22.2)	61	( 27.0)	40	( 17.8)	74	( 23.2)	25	( 19.7)	76	( 29.3)	25	( 12.9)
4	47	( 10.4)	23	( 10.2)	24	( 10.7)	32	( 10.0)	15	( 11.8)	23	( 8.9)	23	( 11.9)
5	33	( 7.3)	14	( 6.2)	18	( 8.0)	23	( 7.2)	9	( 7.1)	20	( 7.7)	13	( 6.7)
6	45	( 9.9)	19	( 8.4)	26	( 11.6)	40	( 12.5)	5	( 3.9)	30	( 11.6)	15	( 7.7)
No Answer	7	( 1.5)	4	( 1.8)	2	( 0.9)	6	( 1.9)	1	( 0.8)	1	( 0.4)	6	( 3.1)
Average ranking =	2.8		2.8		2.8		3.0		2.5		3.0		2.6	
Reputation of the organization														
1	99	( 21.8)	52	( 23.0)	47	( 20.9)	69	( 21.6)	27	( 21.3)	54	( 20.8)	45	( 23.2)
2	138	( 30.4)	65	( 28.8)	71	( 31.6)	97	( 30.4)	39	( 30.7)	77	( 29.7)	61	( 31.4)
3	90	( 19.8)	47	( 20.8)	43	( 19.1)	62	( 19.4)	26	( 20.5)	52	( 20.1)	38	( 19.6)
4	57	( 12.6)	34	( 15.0)	23	( 10.2)	43	( 13.5)	14	( 11.0)	34	( 13.1)	23	( 11.9)
5	31	( 6.8)	15	( 6.6)	16	( 7.1)	22	( 6.9)	9	( 7.1)	19	( 7.3)	11	( 5.7)
6	29	( 6.4)	9	( 4.0)	20	( 8.9)	19	( 6.0)	10	( 7.9)	18	( 6.9)	11	( 5.7)
No Answer	10	( 2.2)	4	( 1.8)	5	( 2.2)	7	( 2.2)	2	( 1.6)	5	( 1.9)	5	( 2.6)
Average ranking =	2.7		2.6		2.8		2.7		2.8		2.8		2.6	

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

11. RANKING (continued)

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Geographic location														
1	190	( 41.9)	88	( 38.9)	101	( 44.9)	129	( 40.4)	58	( 45.7)	93	( 35.9)	96	( 49.5)
2	109	( 24.0)	56	( 24.8)	53	( 23.6)	73	( 22.9)	33	( 26.0)	67	( 25.9)	42	( 21.6)
3	61	( 13.4)	35	( 15.5)	26	( 11.6)	41	( 12.9)	19	( 15.0)	35	( 13.5)	26	( 13.4)
4	32	( 7.0)	16	( 7.1)	16	( 7.1)	26	( 8.2)	5	( 3.9)	22	( 8.5)	10	( 5.2)
5	30	( 6.6)	14	( 6.2)	15	( 6.7)	23	( 7.2)	7	( 5.5)	18	( 6.9)	12	( 6.2)
6	26	( 5.7)	13	( 5.8)	13	( 5.8)	22	( 6.9)	4	( 3.1)	21	( 8.1)	5	( 2.6)
No Answer	6	( 1.3)	4	( 1.8)	1	( 0.4)	5	( 1.6)	1	( 0.8)	3	( 1.2)	3	( 1.5)
Average ranking =		2.3		2.3		2.2		2.4		2.1		2.5		2.0
Starting salary														
1	58	( 12.8)	29	( 12.8)	29	( 12.9)	41	( 12.9)	14	( 11.0)	27	( 10.4)	31	( 16.0)
2	149	( 32.8)	86	( 38.1)	63	( 28.0)	100	( 31.3)	47	( 37.0)	87	( 33.6)	62	( 32.0)
3	123	( 27.1)	59	( 26.1)	62	( 27.6)	91	( 28.5)	30	( 23.6)	77	( 29.7)	46	( 23.7)
4	60	( 13.2)	21	( 9.3)	39	( 17.3)	44	( 13.8)	15	( 11.8)	37	( 14.3)	23	( 11.9)
5	38	( 8.4)	18	( 8.0)	20	( 8.9)	25	( 7.8)	13	( 10.2)	19	( 7.3)	19	( 9.8)
6	23	( 5.1)	11	( 4.9)	12	( 5.3)	15	( 4.7)	8	( 6.3)	11	( 4.2)	11	( 5.7)
No Answer	3	( 0.7)	2	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.4)	2	( 1.0)
Average ranking =		2.9		2.8		3.0		2.9		2.9		2.9		2.8
Position description														
1	104	( 22.9)	43	( 19.0)	61	( 27.1)	73	( 22.9)	29	( 22.8)	65	( 25.1)	39	( 20.1)
2	121	( 26.7)	64	( 28.3)	56	( 24.9)	89	( 27.9)	30	( 23.6)	77	( 29.7)	43	( 22.2)
3	96	( 21.1)	53	( 23.5)	43	( 19.1)	68	( 21.3)	25	( 19.7)	54	( 20.8)	42	( 21.6)
4	51	( 11.2)	27	( 11.9)	24	( 10.7)	34	( 10.7)	16	( 12.6)	28	( 10.8)	23	( 11.9)
5	35	( 7.7)	15	( 6.6)	20	( 8.9)	27	( 8.5)	8	( 6.3)	18	( 6.9)	17	( 8.8)
6	43	( 9.5)	21	( 9.3)	21	( 9.3)	25	( 7.8)	18	( 14.2)	15	( 5.8)	28	( 14.4)
No Answer	4	( 0.9)	3	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 0.9)	1	( 0.8)	2	( 0.8)	2	( 1.0)
Average ranking =		2.8		2.9		2.8		2.8		3.0		2.6		3.1

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

12. In your job search, do you feel restricted to one geographical area for reasons of necessity or strong personal preference?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE = 454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes	279	( 61.5)	127	( 56.2)	151	( 67.1)	189	( 59.2)	84	( 66.1)	153	( 59.1)	125	( 64.4)
No	171	( 37.7)	96	( 42.5)	74	( 32.9)	126	( 39.5)	43	( 33.9)	104	( 40.2)	67	( 34.5)
No Answer	4	( 0.9)	3	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.8)	2	( 1.0)

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

13. Do you intend to seek state registration as a Landscape Architect?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes	361	( 79.5)	179	( 79.2)	180	( 80.0)	253	( 79.3)	104	( 81.9)	209	( 80.7)	152	( 78.4)
No	11	( 2.4)	6	( 2.7)	5	( 2.2)	7	( 2.2)	3	( 2.4)	5	( 1.9)	6	( 3.1)
Uncertain	76	( 16.7)	38	( 16.8)	38	( 16.9)	55	( 17.2)	19	( 15.0)	43	( 16.6)	32	( 16.5)
No Answer	6	( 1.3)	3	( 1.3)	2	( 0.9)	4	( 1.3)	1	( 0.8)	2	( 0.8)	4	( 2.1)

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

14. Have you started or accepted a job

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE = 454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes	160	( 35.2)	90	( 39.8)	70	( 31.1)	119	( 37.3)	39	( 30.7)	94	( 36.3)	65	( 33.5)
No	291	( 64.1)	134	( 59.3)	155	( 68.9)	197	( 61.8)	88	( 69.3)	164	( 63.3)	127	( 65.5)
No Answer	3	( 0.7)	2	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 0.9)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.4)	2	( 1.0)

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE ACCEPTED OR STARTED A JOB)

15a. Which of the following BEST describes your employer?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE =		90		70		119		39		94		65	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Private practice LA firm														
less than 10 employees	35	( 21.9)	18	( 20.0)	17	( 24.3)	27	( 22.7)	7	( 17.9)	19	( 20.2)	15	( 23.1)
10 or more employees	42	( 26.3)	22	( 24.4)	20	( 28.6)	30	( 25.2)	12	( 30.8)	25	( 26.6)	17	( 26.2)
Private practice with allied professionals	38	( 23.8)	22	( 24.4)	16	( 22.9)	25	( 21.0)	13	( 33.3)	23	( 24.5)	15	( 23.1)
Design build contractor	19	( 11.9)	12	( 13.3)	7	( 10.0)	18	( 15.1)	1	( 2.6)	14	( 14.9)	5	( 7.7)
Planning agency	2	( 1.3)	2	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.5)
Public sector resource management														
Federal	6	( 3.8)	2	( 2.2)	4	( 5.7)	4	( 3.4)	1	( 2.6)	3	( 3.2)	3	( 4.6)
State	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)
Local	4	( 2.5)	2	( 2.2)	2	( 2.9)	2	( 1.7)	2	( 5.1)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 6.2)
Not for profit	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)
Academic institution	2	( 1.3)	2	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.6)	2	( 2.1)	0	( 0.0)
Other	12	( 7.5)	8	( 8.9)	4	( 5.7)	10	( 8.4)	2	( 5.1)	7	( 7.4)	5	( 7.7)
No Answer	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)

Other responses (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

- Contracting/Project Management Co Contractor
- GIS with the Washington Center for Real Estate Research, W.S.U.
- Golf Course Superintendent
- Landscape Supplies
- Private practice architecture firm
- Private practice golf course architecture firm - 10 or more employees
- Private practice - 100+ employees - landscape, planning, engineering - international locations
- Small civil engineering firm
- Specialized in Pools and Water features



(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE ACCEPTED OR STARTED A JOB)

15b. Is this your preferred type of employer?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	160		90		70		119		39		94		65	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes	99	( 61.9)	59	( 65.6)	40	( 57.1)	74	( 62.2)	25	( 64.1)	63	( 67.0)	35	( 53.8)
No	23	( 14.4)	9	( 10.0)	14	( 20.0)	17	( 14.3)	6	( 15.4)	10	( 10.6)	13	( 20.0)
Didn't have a preference	35	( 21.9)	20	( 22.2)	15	( 21.4)	26	( 21.8)	7	( 17.9)	19	( 20.2)	16	( 24.6)
No Answer	3	( 1.9)	2	( 2.2)	1	( 1.4)	2	( 1.7)	1	( 2.6)	2	( 2.1)	1	( 1.5)

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE ACCEPTED OR STARTED A JOB)

16a. What is your starting salary?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE = 160		90		70		119		39		94		65	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Less than \$10,000	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)
\$10,000 - 19,999	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.5)
\$20,000 - 24,999	10	( 6.3)	5	( 5.6)	5	( 7.1)	10	( 8.4)	0	( 0.0)	9	( 9.6)	1	( 1.5)
\$25,000 - 29,999	14	( 8.8)	5	( 5.6)	9	( 12.9)	12	( 10.1)	2	( 5.1)	8	( 8.5)	5	( 7.7)
\$30,000 - 34,999	41	( 25.6)	23	( 25.6)	18	( 25.7)	34	( 28.6)	7	( 17.9)	26	( 27.7)	15	( 23.1)
\$35,000 - 39,999	51	( 31.9)	32	( 35.6)	19	( 27.1)	36	( 30.3)	14	( 35.9)	30	( 31.9)	21	( 32.3)
\$40,000 or more	29	( 18.1)	17	( 18.9)	12	( 17.1)	18	( 15.1)	11	( 28.2)	14	( 14.9)	15	( 23.1)
No Answer	14	( 8.8)	8	( 8.9)	6	( 8.6)	8	( 6.7)	5	( 12.8)	7	( 7.4)	7	( 10.8)
Average salary	34706.5		35704.0		33428.4		34048.8		36815.6		34254.9		35551.2	

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE ACCEPTED OR STARTED A JOB)

16b. Which of the following benefits are included?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE =		90		70		119		39		94		65	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Major medical	132	( 82.5)	79	( 87.8)	53	( 75.7)	98	( 82.4)	33	( 84.6)	80	( 85.1)	52	( 80.0)
Life	79	( 49.4)	44	( 48.9)	35	( 50.0)	55	( 46.2)	23	( 59.0)	43	( 45.7)	36	( 55.4)
Profit sharing	54	( 33.8)	34	( 37.8)	20	( 28.6)	39	( 32.8)	14	( 35.9)	29	( 30.9)	25	( 38.5)
Car allowance	14	( 8.8)	9	( 10.0)	5	( 7.1)	11	( 9.2)	3	( 7.7)	8	( 8.5)	6	( 9.2)
Professional dues	46	( 28.8)	26	( 28.9)	20	( 28.6)	32	( 26.9)	14	( 35.9)	27	( 28.7)	19	( 29.2)
401K retirement plan	108	( 67.5)	63	( 70.0)	45	( 64.3)	78	( 65.5)	29	( 74.4)	65	( 69.1)	43	( 66.2)
Other	27	( 16.9)	16	( 17.8)	11	( 15.7)	21	( 17.6)	6	( 15.4)	18	( 19.1)	9	( 13.8)
No Answer	23	( 14.4)	9	( 10.0)	14	( 20.0)	17	( 14.3)	5	( 12.8)	10	( 10.6)	12	( 18.5)

Other responses (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

- 1% commission
- 50% of professional dues
- Ability to set my own hours
- Bi-annual bonus
- Bonus and overtime
- Dental (2 mentions)
- Dental, Vision, Disability, Cafeteria 125, 20 days PTO, overtime pay
- Dental, Vision, Vacation, and Sick Pay
- Disability Insurance
- Disability, Health Club Reimbursement
- Educational Allowance
- FSA, license renewal
- Gym membership
- IRA
- Just over 4 weeks vacation
- Keep salary during PhD (4 year)
- License payment
- Overtime
- Paid time off bank, paid vacation and sick leave
- Pay for passed LARE
- Quarterly Bonus
- Retirement
- Sar-sep plan
- Signing bonus, year end performance bonus, and SEP plan
- Transportation stipend
- Work cell phone

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE ACCEPTED OR STARTED A JOB)

17a. What state will you be working in?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE = 160		90		70		119		39		94		65	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
AK	1	( 0.6)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 2.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.5)
AL	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.5)
AR	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)
AZ	2	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.9)	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.6)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.5)
CA	23	( 14.4)	12	( 13.3)	11	( 15.7)	20	( 16.8)	3	( 7.7)	13	( 13.8)	9	( 13.8)
CO	6	( 3.8)	3	( 3.3)	3	( 4.3)	2	( 1.7)	4	( 10.3)	1	( 1.1)	5	( 7.7)
CT	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 2.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.5)
DC	2	( 1.3)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.4)	2	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.5)
FL	21	( 13.1)	12	( 13.3)	9	( 12.9)	12	( 10.1)	9	( 23.1)	13	( 13.8)	8	( 12.3)
GA	8	( 5.0)	8	( 8.9)	0	( 0.0)	6	( 5.0)	2	( 5.1)	6	( 6.4)	2	( 3.1)
HI	2	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.9)	2	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.1)	0	( 0.0)
IA	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)
ID	3	( 1.9)	2	( 2.2)	1	( 1.4)	3	( 2.5)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 3.2)	0	( 0.0)
IN	4	( 2.5)	2	( 2.2)	2	( 2.9)	3	( 2.5)	1	( 2.6)	2	( 2.1)	2	( 3.1)
KS	3	( 1.9)	2	( 2.2)	1	( 1.4)	3	( 2.5)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.1)	1	( 1.5)
KY	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.5)
LA	2	( 1.3)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.4)	2	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.5)
MA	7	( 4.4)	4	( 4.4)	3	( 4.3)	5	( 4.2)	2	( 5.1)	4	( 4.3)	3	( 4.6)
MD	9	( 5.6)	6	( 6.7)	3	( 4.3)	7	( 5.9)	2	( 5.1)	6	( 6.4)	3	( 4.6)
MI	4	( 2.5)	3	( 3.3)	1	( 1.4)	4	( 3.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 3.2)	1	( 1.5)
MN	2	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.9)	2	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.1)	0	( 0.0)
MO	2	( 1.3)	2	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.5)
MS	1	( 0.6)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)
MT	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)
NC	5	( 3.1)	3	( 3.3)	2	( 2.9)	4	( 3.4)	1	( 2.6)	4	( 4.3)	1	( 1.5)
NJ	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.5)
NV	1	( 0.6)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 2.6)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)
NY	7	( 4.4)	4	( 4.4)	3	( 4.3)	4	( 3.4)	3	( 7.7)	2	( 2.1)	5	( 7.7)
OH	5	( 3.1)	4	( 4.4)	1	( 1.4)	4	( 3.4)	0	( 0.0)	3	( 3.2)	2	( 3.1)
OK	2	( 1.3)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.6)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.5)
OR	2	( 1.3)	2	( 2.2)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.1)	0	( 0.0)
PA	6	( 3.8)	4	( 4.4)	2	( 2.9)	6	( 5.0)	0	( 0.0)	4	( 4.3)	2	( 3.1)
SC	3	( 1.9)	2	( 2.2)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	2	( 5.1)	2	( 2.1)	1	( 1.5)
TN	2	( 1.3)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.4)	2	( 1.7)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.1)	0	( 0.0)
TX	3	( 1.9)	2	( 2.2)	1	( 1.4)	3	( 2.5)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	2	( 3.1)
UT	1	( 0.6)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.5)
VA	7	( 4.4)	3	( 3.3)	4	( 5.7)	5	( 4.2)	2	( 5.1)	4	( 4.3)	3	( 4.6)
WA	3	( 1.9)	1	( 1.1)	2	( 2.9)	2	( 1.7)	1	( 2.6)	1	( 1.1)	2	( 3.1)
WI	2	( 1.3)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 2.9)	1	( 0.8)	1	( 2.6)	1	( 1.1)	1	( 1.5)
WV	1	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.4)	1	( 0.8)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)
No Answer	1	( 0.6)	1	( 1.1)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 2.6)	0	( 0.0)	1	( 1.5)

(RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE ACCEPTED OR STARTED A JOB)

17b. Is this your preferred region?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	160		90		70		119		39		94		65	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes	131	( 81.9)	75	( 83.3)	56	( 80.0)	98	( 82.4)	33	( 84.6)	77	( 81.9)	53	( 81.5)
No	29	( 18.1)	15	( 16.7)	14	( 20.0)	21	( 17.6)	6	( 15.4)	17	( 18.1)	12	( 18.5)
No Answer	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)	0	( 0.0)

(ALL RESPONDENTS)

18. Do you anticipate pursuing another degree/additional education, either now or in the future?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
BASE =	454		226		225		319		127		259		194	
Yes - will pursue another degree right away	31	( 6.8)	16	( 7.1)	15	( 6.7)	22	( 6.9)	7	( 5.5)	22	( 8.5)	9	( 4.6)
Yes - will pursue another degree/additional education after gaining some professional experience	143	( 31.5)	72	( 31.9)	69	( 30.7)	118	( 37.0)	23	( 18.1)	90	( 34.7)	53	( 27.3)
Uncertain	140	( 30.8)	81	( 35.8)	59	( 26.2)	108	( 33.9)	30	( 23.6)	86	( 33.2)	54	( 27.8)
Do not expect to	137	( 30.2)	55	( 24.3)	81	( 36.0)	69	( 21.6)	67	( 52.8)	59	( 22.8)	77	( 39.7)
No Answer	3	( 0.7)	2	( 0.9)	1	( 0.4)	2	( 0.6)	0	( 0.0)	2	( 0.8)	1	( 0.5)

(RESPONDENTS WHO WILL OR MAY PURSUE ANOTHER DEGREE)

19a. If you plan to pursue another degree, what degree will it be?

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	BASE = 317		171		144		250		60		200		117	
Bachelor	13	( 4.1)	8	( 4.7)	5	( 3.5)	9	( 3.6)	3	( 5.0)	11	( 5.5)	2	( 1.7)
Masters	246	( 77.6)	139	( 81.3)	105	( 72.9)	224	( 89.6)	20	( 33.3)	169	( 84.5)	77	( 65.8)
Ph.D.	52	( 16.4)	23	( 13.5)	29	( 20.1)	13	( 5.2)	36	( 60.0)	19	( 9.5)	33	( 28.2)
Other	5	( 1.6)	2	( 1.2)	3	( 2.1)	2	( 0.8)	3	( 5.0)	3	( 1.5)	2	( 1.7)
No Answer	18	( 5.7)	10	( 5.8)	8	( 5.6)	13	( 5.2)	4	( 6.7)	10	( 5.0)	8	( 6.8)

Other responses (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

- Law
- Post professional
- Post-Doctoral
- Uncertain

## (RESPONDENTS WHO WILL OR MAY PURSUE ANOTHER DEGREE)

19b. What discipline will it be in?

<u># of</u> <u>mentions</u>	<u>Discipline</u>
1	Architectural design
18	Architecture
1	Architecture, art, Landscape architecture
1	Architecture, Biology, Urban Design
1	Architecture, business, horticulture
1	Architecture, Business, LA
1	Architecture, Construction Management
1	Architecture, Environmental Planning, Landscape Architecture
1	Architecture, Geography
1	Architecture, Graphic Arts
1	Architecture, horticulture, Urban planning
1	Architecture, hydrology, Landscape Architecture
1	Architecture, Land development, Urban Planning
3	Architecture, landscape architecture
1	Architecture, Landscape architecture, Planning, urban design
1	Architecture, Landscape architecture, urban design
1	Architecture, resource management
5	Architecture, Urban Planning
3	Art
1	Art, business, Planning
16	Business
2	Business, Design
3	Business, Landscape Architecture
1	Business, law
1	Business, Planning
1	China and the environment
1	City Planning
3	Civil Engineering
1	civil engineering, planning
1	Coastal Management/Restoration, Landscape Architecture/Design, Marine Biology, Pathology
1	Community Planning
1	Community planning, urban planning
1	Construction Administration
1	Construction science
1	Construction System Manager
1	CONSTRUCTION, LAND DEVELOPMENT
1	Contracting
1	Cultural Studies, Urban Design
5	Design
1	Design & Planning
1	Development
1	Development, Planning
1	Ecological design
1	Ecology, Environmental Science



## (RESPONDENTS WHO WILL OR MAY PURSUE ANOTHER DEGREE)

19b. (continued)

<u># of</u> <u>mentions</u>	<u>Discipline</u>
1	Ecology/restoration science
1	Economic geog (landscape) history, planning
1	Education
1	Engineering
1	English Literature
1	English, Journalism
3	Environmental Design
1	Environmental design, Landscape architecture
1	environmental law, Urban planning
3	Environmental Planning
2	Environmental Science
1	Environmental sciences, Planning
2	Environmental Studies
1	Environmental sustainability, Graphic design
1	Environmental, Horticultural Sciences/Engineering
3	Fine Arts
1	Fine Arts, Urban Design
1	Geography, Planning
1	Golf Course Architecture
1	Green Design
5	Historic Preservation
1	Historic Preservation, Landscape Architecture
1	Historic Preservation, Urban Planning/Design
1	Horticultural Therapy
3	Horticulture
1	Horticulture, Landscape Architecture
2	Horticulture/landscape architecture
1	International Relations
1	Land Development
1	Land planning, Landscape Architecture
62	Landscape Architecture
1	Landscape Architecture (Watershed Resource Mgmt.)
1	Landscape architecture, Law
3	Landscape Architecture, Planning
1	landscape architecture, Sustainable agriculture
1	Landscape architecture, urban and regional planning
2	Landscape architecture, urban design
1	Landscape Architecture, Urban Planning
1	Landscape technology
1	Marketing/Advertising
1	Mediation
1	MLA
2	Natural Resources
1	Photography

(RESPONDENTS WHO WILL OR MAY PURSUE ANOTHER DEGREE)

19b. (continued)

<u># of</u> <u>mentions</u>	<u>Discipline</u>
5	Planning
1	Plant Biology
1	Psychology
1	Real Estate
1	Regenerative/Sustainable Design
1	Renewable resources
1	Restoration Ecology
1	Social Work
9	Urban Design
15	Urban Planning
3	Urban/Regional Planning
1	Water management
1	Wildlife restoration
1	WRITING
24	Uncertain

(RESPONDENTS WHO WILL OR MAY PURSUE ANOTHER DEGREE)

19c. What do you anticipate doing once you complete this degree

	All Respondents		Male		Female		Undergrads		Grads		Age <= 25		Age >= 26	
	BASE = 317		171		144		250		60		200		117	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Teach in landscape architecture	82	( 25.9)	39	( 22.8)	42	( 29.2)	53	( 21.2)	27	( 45.0)	44	( 22.0)	38	( 32.5)
Teach in other discipline	14	( 4.4)	7	( 4.1)	7	( 4.9)	10	( 4.0)	4	( 6.7)	8	( 4.0)	6	( 5.1)
Seek practice employment	218	( 68.8)	126	( 73.7)	91	( 63.2)	178	( 71.2)	36	( 60.0)	146	( 73.0)	72	( 61.5)
Other	42	( 13.2)	18	( 10.5)	23	( 16.0)	31	( 12.4)	10	( 16.7)	22	( 11.0)	20	( 17.1)
No Answer	26	( 8.2)	16	( 9.4)	10	( 6.9)	20	( 8.0)	5	( 8.3)	15	( 7.5)	11	( 9.4)

## (RESPONDENTS WHO WILL OR MAY PURSUE ANOTHER DEGREE)

19c. (continued)

TEACH IN LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE - AREAS OF SPECIAL INTEREST (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

Adjunct studio  
 Basic Design Principles  
 China and the environment  
 Communication, history, practice  
 Conceptual, environmentally sustainable Design  
 Country side  
 Design  
 Design - Art in the Landscape  
 Design and environmental education  
 Design, History, 3D  
 Design, Technologies, Design Theory  
 Drawing  
 Ecological restoration (2 mentions)  
 Ecologically sustainable design / sustainable agriculture  
 Environmental planning  
 Grading  
 Graphics and design  
 Graphics Intro, Ecological Studies  
 Historic Preservation (2 mentions)  
 History  
 History, historic preservation  
 Horticulture  
 Parks and gardens  
 Plant design, construction documentation  
 Planting design  
 Post-war cities, international development  
 Preservation of cultural landscapes  
 Sense of place in cultural landscapes  
 Storm water management & grading  
 Sustainable communities  
 Sustainable design / holistic site design  
 Theory and history (design of course too)  
 Theory, community-development, & environmental design  
 Urban Design (2 mentions)  
 Urban design and computer aided design  
 Urban Design and Theory  
 Urban landscapes  
 Urban Planning

(RESPONDENTS WHO WILL OR MAY PURSUE ANOTHER DEGREE)

19c. (continued)

TEACH IN ANOTHER DISCIPLINE (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

Architecture (3 mentions)  
Architecture & landscape  
Basic graphics technology seminars  
Design  
English Literature  
Environmental education & outdoor curriculum development  
Geography, planning  
Horticulture Plant Identification  
Planning  
Restoration ecology  
Sustainable agriculture / sociology  
urban design

OTHER (one mention unless otherwise indicated):

Apply this to graphic design/presentations in Landscape architecture  
Broaden my employment/salary opportunities  
Conduct Landscape Arch Research  
Consultant work for UN, World Bank, etc.  
Consulting firms & schools about playground development promoting health, education, and community  
Continue work as Landscape Architect  
Develop governmental policies  
Establish my own firm (9 mentions)  
Have my own business  
I would like to work for a magazine, and write about the profession.  
Open a retail business supported by landscape architecture  
Personal knowledge  
Ph.D degree or work  
Planning and Land Development  
Research  
Run a fishing camp in Canada  
Seek legislative position  
Self growth  
Stay in the landscape field  
Teach, consult, practice  
Travel  
Work in some capacity to further equity in urban situations by addressing the urban poor  
Work with non profit community groups and children  
Write on theory, policy of landscape  
  
Uncertain (5 mentions)