



ANNUAL REPORT

2024 Carbon Assessment

REED+HILDERBRAND

ISSUED: OCTOBER 10, 2025

2024 Carbon Assessment

REED-HILDERBRAND



Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	01
APPROACH	03
Preliminary Climate Action Plan	04
Scope 1, 2, 3 Reporting Approach	06
FINDINGS	09
Scope 1, 2, 3 Summary	10
Office Investment	11
Scope 3: Downstream Project LCA	12
What we learned	18
NEXT STEPS	23

MIT North Corridor
Cambridge, MA



Executive Summary

In October 2024 Reed Hilderbrand pledged to work with the American Society of Landscape Architects (ASLA) towards the goals outlined in the ASLA's Climate Action Plan's Vision for 2040:

- Achieve zero embodied and operational emissions and increase carbon sequestration
- Provide significant economic benefits in the form of measurable ecosystem services and co-benefits, sequestration, and green jobs
- Address climate injustices, empower communities, and increase equitable distribution of climate investments
- Restore ecosystems and increase and protect biodiversity

Prompted to develop an approach, Reed Hilderbrand (RH) formed a climate action task force. The initial charge of the task force was to benchmark the firm's current carbon footprint and create a roadmap for reductions.

The task force followed the Common Reporting Format (CRF) for reporting of greenhouse gas emissions inventories outlined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, consistent with the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). Data used to estimate the greenhouse gas emissions of our offices in Cambridge, Massachusetts and New Haven, Connecticut were collected from the United States Department of Energy (USDOE) Energy Usage Intensity (EUI) index. The calculation of embodied and anticipated operational emissions from Reed Hilderbrand's built projects completed in 2024 relied upon calculations made using Climate Positive Design's Pathfinder Tool version 3.1.

The effort to set a baseline for the practice yielded important insights into the opportunities and challenges that the practice and profession will face in meeting the ambitious goals of the ASLA Climate Action Plan. RH's climate action task force has identified three key areas for more attention in the coming year:

- Collaboration with peer firms on reporting standards
- Practicewide educational initiatives
- Prioritization of locally sourced and low carbon materials
- Evaluation of details and review of specifications

The Bruce Museum,
Greenwich, Connecticut



Approach

To meet the ASLA's Climate Action Plan goals to achieve zero embodied and operational emissions by 2040, firms will need to hit an interim target of **50 - 65% carbon emissions by 2030**, in keeping with the Paris Agreement's target to keep warming under 1.5 degrees celsius.


Reed Hilderbrand established a task force in October 2024 including members John Kett, Claire Fellman, Stephanie Hsia, Stephanie Pierce and Ted Marchant. Conducting the GHG assessment in-house with RH staff rather than with the assistance of a consultant presented a learning opportunity and developed expertise within the practice.


The team reviewed various evaluation and accounting tools with the goal of identifying an easily replicable methodology that could be integrated into the firm's typical project design and delivery process. The team selected Pathfinder and developed a spreadsheet-based system to complement the online tool in order to track inputs and outputs, and to document assumptions when Pathfinder's options differed from the details in the Construction Documentation sets.

The team explored benchmarking projects by typology and conducting a rough assessment using a sample of the largest projects. A simplified approach based on hardscape-to-planting area ratios was also considered. However, due to significant variability in project scale, typology, and design complexity across the firm's portfolio, the task force concluded that a project-specific, comprehensive assessment would provide more accurate and actionable insights. Moreover, the project-specific assessment presented a key learning opportunity at this early stage in the firm's understanding of the carbon impacts of our work.

Project assessments were based on bid documents that reached substantial completion in 2024 and excluded any prior phases of work previously completed on the respective sites. Where earthwork, pavements, and foundations were detailed in civil and structural drawing sets, the associated carbon impacts of these elements were incorporated into the total site work carbon footprint estimates.

Longwood Gardens,
Kennet Square, PA





GHG PROTOCOL

SCOPE 1: DIRECT EMISSIONS

Direct emissions are not applicable to Reed Hilderbrand since the company does not own its facilities and vehicles.

SCOPE 2: INDIRECT EMISSIONS

Working with the administrative and finance team, RH collected data on the indirect GHG emissions resulting from the generation of electricity, heating and cooling that RH purchased and consumed in 2024 in both Cambridge and New Haven offices.

SCOPE 3: INDIRECT DOWNSTREAM EMISSIONS

RH conducted an employee survey to capture commuting habits and worked with both DirectTravel and the finance team to estimate the business travel footprint, as well as contributions from equipment, office supplies and office waste.

RH conducted a full carbon assessment on all of our built projects from 2024. This process was beneficial for design staff to develop familiarity with the Pathfinder Tool and the key contributing factors to both embodied and operational emissions within RH projects.

INVESTMENT

Staff across the office were engaged in the reporting effort including: the climate action task force, administrative and finance staff, a part time intern, and two designers who consulted with project managers for each project's life cycle assessment. Depending on the project scale and complexity the time to conduct each Project LCA ranged between 8 - 16 hours. Approximate effort on the 2024 assessment was:

PRINCIPAL	8 hours
ASSOCIATE PRINCIPAL	30 hours
SENIOR ASSOCIATE	30 hours
DESIGNER	80 hours
DESIGNER	40 hours
INTERN	50 hours
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	8 hours
TOTAL:	~250 hours



Findings

The largest contributors to Reed Hilderbrand’s carbon footprint in 2024 were projects (93%) and business travel (5%). These results align with typical design practice profiles, where embodied carbon from materials, construction processes, and operational carbon associated with landscape maintenance over their lifespans constitute the primary impact sources.

SCOPE 3 PROJECT EMISSIONS

Reed Hilderbrand’s total carbon footprint for 2024 was 8416 tons. For reference, this translates to 8442 acres of mature temperate forest sequestering carbon for 1 year, or 47 railcars worth of coal burned*. The team conducting the project assessments identified a number of factors that played a role in project carbon footprints:

- Pavements, site walls and foundations high in concrete & steel
- Distance materials travel before arriving on site
- Amount of regrading/earth moving
- Amount of aggregate subbase imported
- Anticipated mowing and irrigation practices
- Carbon footprint of existing regional water supply
- Existing conditions of the site prior to development
- Scale of disturbance/ability to protect existing high value ecologies



QUESTIONS & CONSIDERATIONS

It should be noted that the 2024 assessment represents RH’s initial application of the Pathfinder Tool for Life Cycle Assessment (LCA). Although efforts were made to maintain consistency across projects, several questions arose during the process that will require further review and training to achieve consistent reporting. Moving forward, collaboration among firms and with the ASLA will be critical to establishing clear reporting standards for landscape architecture.



Volunteers installing planting at the ‘Palmer Plant-Out’, Palmer Museum of Art, State College, Pennsylvania

* <https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalencies-calculator>

SCOPE 1, 2 & 3 GHG ASSESSMENT




PATHWAY TO NET ZERO BY 2040





ACREAGE BUILT / YEAR
3 YEAR TREND



SCOPE 3: DOWNSTREAM
LANDSCAPES CONSTRUCTED IN 2024
TOTAL ACREAGE: 76





INSTITUTIONAL
SIZE: 0.1 ACRE
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 25 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 14 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 10 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: ∞




HOSPITALITY
SIZE: 0.6 ACRE
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 23 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 31 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 119 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: 83



INSTITUTIONAL
SIZE: 0.5 ACRE
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 37 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 155 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 0.03 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: 15




HOSPITALITY
SIZE: 2 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 108 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 0 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 0 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: ∞




SCOPE 3: DOWNSTREAM
LANDSCAPES CONSTRUCTED IN 2024
TOTAL ACREAGE: 76




RESIDENTIAL
SIZE: 2 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 387 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 277 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 410 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: 94




RESIDENTIAL
SIZE: 4.5 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 403 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 222 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 473 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: ∞



INSTITUTIONAL
SIZE: 5.4 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 939 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 302 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 337 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: 45





INSTITUTIONAL
SIZE: 6 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 6 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 13 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 0 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: 0





SCOPE 3: DOWNSTREAM
LANDSCAPES CONSTRUCTED IN 2024
TOTAL ACREAGE: 76




INSTITUTIONAL
SIZE: 6 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 736 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 327 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 505 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: ∞




PUBLIC PARK
SIZE: 8 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 383 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 1159 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 0
YEARS TO POSITIVE: 24




INSTITUTIONAL
SIZE: 16 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 4451 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 1250 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 190 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: 136



INSTITUTIONAL
SIZE: 25 ACRES
EMBODIED EMISSIONS: 3901 TONS
BIOGENIC (SEQ + EMISSIONS): 2353 TONS
OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS: 1558 TONS
YEARS TO POSITIVE: 82





13% of emissions for granite shipped 150 miles to remote site



1150 tons sequestered from restoring & preserving existing forest

- Where materials come from matters a lot
- Preservation of high value ecosystems is essential




234% more emissions on plant materials shipped over 800 miles



68% of total emissions from microspray irrigation operations

- Green is not always green (when it comes from far away)
- Irrigation systems have a significant impact over time



- Scale, weight and effort matters a lot in earthwork & soils
- Restored wetlands sequester carbon, but ‘restoration’ needs better definition



- Lawns offer little sequestration and high operational carbon
- Permeable pavement benefits should be balanced with impacts from extra aggregate



Next Steps

Ecosystems are so similar to human societies – they’re built on relationships. The stronger those are, the more resilient the system.

Suzanne Simard, Finding the Mother Tree

Given that the vast majority of the firm’s emissions arise from our projects, RH’s key priorities will center on what we design, how we approach site conditions, the materials we select, and how we balance decarbonization with other sustainability goals. Achieving the ambitious target of net zero will require an examination of the ‘business as usual’ approach, as well a critical evaluation of the baseline conditions in the sites where we work.

COLLABORATION WITH PEER FIRMS

RH’s initial efforts to conduct a GHG assessment have surfaced a number of important questions—questions we believe are best addressed through collaboration with peer firms to develop a standardized methodology for reporting tailored to the practice of landscape architecture. Establishing such a standard will be critical in building credibility with our clients and within the architecture and engineering (A&E) professions.

We also see value in sharing tools, data, and knowledge across firms to streamline the assessment process. While reporting is a vital first step—providing an initial diagnostic of emissions—it represents only one part of the broader challenge. The greater opportunity lies in integrating these assessment tools into the early stages of design, enabling us to make informed, low-carbon decisions from the outset.

Additionally, the work to undertake carbon accounting is intensive. To maximize the benefit of the work, carbon accounting with the aim of reducing emissions must be valued as a project deliverable, and having industry standards is essential.

PRACTICEWIDE EDUCATION

A priority in the coming year will be to embed Pathfinder into the skill set across the office by encouraging each project team to conduct their own carbon assessment for completed projects, supported by the Climate Action Task Force.

Storm King Art Center, Hudson Valley, New York

Credits

In addition to assessment of built projects, project teams have begun piloting the use of Pathfinder in an iterative way during schematic design. Design teams will also test the use of parametric tools in Rhino and Grasshopper to better integrate immediate feedback during early stage conceptualization. By improving carbon literacy within design teams, we aim to foster more informed design decisions and stronger advocacy for low-carbon strategies early in the design process—with clients, consultants, and material suppliers alike.

RESEARCH INITIATIVES

A number of RH’s existing research initiatives are focused on the broader goals of the ASLA Climate Action Plan and have synergy with decarbonization goals:

- Irrigation: watering without plastics
- Urban Trees & Soils: post occupancy research on tree health & soils
- Equity in Action: improved communication with laborers
- Low Carbon Pavement: re-tooling of typical approaches
- Parking in a Changing Climate: flexibility, phasing & sequestration

In addition to RH research initiatives, we are also working to support academic research in landscape-focused carbon accounting, project typology-based benchmarking, and decarbonization strategies. We aim to accelerate the development and adoption of highly-applicable and credible low-carbon design strategies to establish new industry standards. In our future efforts it will be imperative to go beyond optimization of existing practices and instead to advocate system level change. Our research initiatives offer an avenue for us to test new spatial forms that resist carbon reliant land-use patterns.

LOCALLY SOURCED MATERIALS

Building our expertise in site based material reclamation and reuse as well as strengthening relationships with local suppliers will be of utmost importance in the effort to draw down carbon footprint. Carbon focused lunch and learns as well as a tagging system for the materials library are a first step. The task force seeks to balance the adoption of innovative hi-tech materials with the benefits that can be achieved simply by improving efficiencies with traditional craft and materials.

EVALUATION OF DETAILS

In the assessment process, we have noticed that use of the Pathfinder tool has generated increased sensitivity and awareness of the materials within our typical details, leading to more curiosity and innovation in detail design. Building on the lessons learned, we will be modifying our standard details with a preliminary focus on paving.

PHOTOGRAPHY CREDITS

Images appear courtesy of the following photographers:

James Florio
James Ewing/JBSA
Sahar Coston-Hardy/ESTO
Hao Liang
Miles + Miles
Peter Zwolinski/BFA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Reed Hilderbrand staff and interns who contributed to the 2024 Carbon Assessment including:

John Kett, Claire Fellman, Stephanie Hsia, Stephanie Pierce, Ted Marchant, Fabiana Casales, Chenxin Zhao, Cory Page, Jaime Andrade, Cathy McDonough, Jacqueline Karasewicz, Tamara Taylor, Tiff Petherbridge

Reed Hilderbrand LLC
Landscape Architecture
130 Bishop Allen Drive
Cambridge, MA 02139

www.reedhilderbrand.com