

Advocating, advancing, and evaluating quality education in Landscape Architecture

Board Members

Educators

Jack Ahern, Ph.D., FASLA, FCELA Chair-Elect University of Massachusetts

Ned Crankshaw, FASLA University of Kentucky

Lynn Ewanow Kansas State University

Practitioners

Joy Lyndes, ASLA, Chair Coastal Sage

Ramon Murray, ASLA Murray Design Group, Inc.

Juanita Shearer-Swink, FASLA, PLA

Public Representatives

Gilbert Holmes University of LaVerne College of Law

Tom Jacobs Mid-America Regional Council

Lucinda McDade, Ph.D. Rancho Santa Ana Botanic Garden

ASLA Representative Leonard Hopper, FASLA Weintraub Diaz, LLC

CELA Representative Roxi Thoren., ASLA University of Oregon

CLARB Representative
Christine Anderson, ASLA, PLA

Executive Director Kristopher Pritchard

Mark Thomas

March 16, 2020

Samuel F. Dennis, Jr., Ph.D., ASLA Associate Professor and Program Chair Department of Planning and Landscape Architecture College of Letters and Science University of Wisconsin - Madison Madison, Wisconsin

Dear Professor Dennis:

The Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board (LAAB) at its February 7-8, 2020 meeting granted accreditation for a period of six (6) years to the course of study leading to the professional BLA degree at University of Wisconsin - Madison. This status is subject to review of an interim report to be submitted by December 1, 2021, together with annual reports and maintenance of good standing.

The interim report should provide an update on each Recommendation Affecting Accreditation (RAA) in order to demonstrate compliance, or steps towards compliance, with the respective standard. In accordance with LAAB policy, programs will be given up to two years to resolve their RAA(s). Upon receipt of the two-year Interim Report, the LAAB will accept that the RAA(s) have been addressed or, if not, the program will be given two more years to resolve the issues. A second Interim Report will be due to the LAAB on or before four years from the receipt of this Action Letter. If the RAA(s) are not successfully resolved or, in the case of longer term issues, substantial and verifiable progress has not been made at that time (after four years from this Action Letter) then the program may be moved to provisional status, it may be suspended, or in some cases the program's accreditation may be revoked.

Accreditation is awarded on a time-certain basis. The six-year period of accreditation ends December 31, 2025. Accordingly, the BLA program at University of Wisconsin - Madison is next scheduled for a review during the fall of 2025.

In making its decision, LAAB considered the program's self-evaluation report, the visiting team's report, and the program's response to the report.

Enclosed is a list of recommendations affecting accreditation (to be responded to in the interim report via the process laid out above) and an Interim Reporting template. This list was developed by LAAB from the materials reviewed during the meeting.

University of Wisconsin-Madison BLA Accreditation Action Letter March 16, 2020 Page 2 of 2

On behalf of the visiting team, I would like to thank you for the hospitality extended to them by the faculty, staff, and students.

Sincerely,

Joy Lyndes, ASLA LAAB Chair

Enclosure

cc: Rebecca M. Blank, Chancellor

University of Wisconsin-Madison BLA Program LAAB Meeting February 7-8, 2020

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations Affecting Accreditation

- 1. Develop a long-range strategic plan, including quantifiable measures and a process for assessing progress and updating the plan on a regular basis (Standard 1).
- 2. Clarify and document specific responsibilities and expectations of the BLA program chair relative to faculty evaluation and allocation of program resources (Standard 2).
- 3. There is an immediate and pressing need for the development of a strategic plan including a timeline and a comprehensive facilities program that, when implemented, will centralize and consolidate the Departmental program elements in a suitably equipped facility (Standard 7).

Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board

Interim Report

_	_
н	lata.
	mie:

Section 1.		
Name of Institution:		
Name of Department:		
Name of Program:		
Date of Decision Letter:		

Section 2.

Recommendation Affecting Accreditation: (Describe verbatim the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation identified in the Decision Letter that is the subject of this Report and attach the Decision Letter. If Decision Letter identified more than one (1) Recommendation Affecting Accreditation, complete an Interim Report for each Recommendation.)

Section 3.

Action Taken by Program: (Describe the action taken by the Program to address the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation identified in Section 2 of this Report. Attach any appropriate documentation that supports the action taken by the Program)